

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,767	01/09/2004		Laura A. Ranieri	25469/451990	1766	
27717	7590	07/15/2004		EXAMINER		
SEYFART	SEYFARTH SHAW				ELEY, TIMOTHY V	
55 EAST M	IONROE S	STREET			D. A. T. T. T. T. F. F. F. F. F. T.	
SUITE 420	SUITE 4200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803				3724		

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/707,767	RANIERI, LAURA A.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Timothy V Eley	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 16 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a reply received by the office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
•	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
	مو ،						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abevance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/9/04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	·					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 19 and 20 are objected to because of the "1." at the beginning of claim 19.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 6,7, and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. "the housing" (claims 6 and 7, line 1 of each; claim 16, line
 - 3) lacks proper antecedent basis since it was not properly earlier referred to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3724

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Collins(6,039,642).
 - a. Collins discloses a retractable knife sharpener comprising: an "ergonomically" (as broadly recited by applicant) shaped handle (S), with an opening at one end thereof in communication with a hollowed portion; a sled(M) slideably received within the hollowed portion and having an outer end and an inner end; and a sharpening structure(F) carried by the sled at its outer end; wherein the sled in selectively positionable relative to the handle, between a retracted position enclosing the sharpening structure and an extended position exposing the sharpening structure. See figures 2-4, and 8; column 2, lines 9-36; and column 3, lines 45-65.
 - b. Regarding claims 4 and 15, the sharpening members include tungsten carbide (ceramic) "rods".
 - c. Regarding claims 5-9, and 16-20, first detent structure on the sled, and second detent structure (openings) on the housing. See column 2, lines 9-20.
 - d. Regarding claims 10,11,21, and 22, note sled guide 82 and the handle has an aperture (opening at the end of handle) for "digital interaction" with the sled guide since it can held. See column 4, last 4 lines.

e. Regarding claims 12 and 23, the handle includes a grip enhancing material, since any material inherently is "grip enhancing" as broadly recited by applicant.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. The cited prior art discloses sharpening devices.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V Eley whose telephone number is 703-308-1824. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Primothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

tve